

# PCA Exercises Session

The Yale Extended dataset in folder yaleB01 is composed by 65 images of size  $192 \times 168$ . Use the function `preprocessing()` to transform the .pgm files into a matrix  $X$  where each column is made by the pixels of a single image. Use `preprocessing(n,m)` to resize the images to size  $n \times m$  and insert them into the matrix  $X$ .

## 1 PCA

---

**Algorithm 1**  $\text{PCA}(X, k)$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ,  $k > 0$

---

Compute  $\mu = Xe/n$  and  $\hat{X} = X - \mu e^T$   
Compute the  $k$ -truncated SVD  $\hat{X} = U\Sigma V^T$   
Compute  $Y = \Sigma V^T$

---

- Write a code to compute the PCA  $X \sim \mu e^T + UY$  of  $X$  with input rank  $k$  through the  $k$ -truncated SVD of  $X$ .
- Apply PCA to the yaleB01 dataset. Draw the average face contained in  $\mu$  and the first eigenfaces contained in the columns of  $U$ . Can you interpret what the eigenfaces represent?

Bonus Did you know that black and white images of faces usually lie in a 9-dimension subspace called the "harmonic plane"?

Let now  $X$  be the pixels of the single  $640 \times 480$  image `yaleB17_P00A+000E+00.pgm`.

- Show the loss of accuracy of the approximation given by PCA on  $X$  with varying  $k$  by drawing the approximated image. Which  $k$  do you think is optimal?
- Plot the function  $f(k) = k(640 + 480) + 10\|X - \text{PCA}(X, k)\|_F$ . For what  $k$  it has its minimum?

## 2 IPCA

Let now  $W$  be a  $d \times n$  binary matrix that has  $\alpha\%$  of entries equal to zero, randomly chosen among all entries. Reshape the images in the yaleB01 dataset to size  $96 \times 84$  and then form the matrix  $X$ .

---

**Algorithm 2**  $\text{PI}(W, X, k)$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ,  $W \in \{0, 1\}^{d \times n}$ ,  $k > 0$

---

Initialize  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  randomly.  
**while**  $\|W \circ (X - \mu e^T - UY)\|_F$  does not converge **do**  
     $\mu_i \leftarrow \frac{\sum_j w_{i,j}(X - UY)_{i,j}}{\sum_j w_{i,j}}$  for every  $i$   
     $u_i \leftarrow \left(\sum_j w_{i,j}y_jy_j^T\right)^{-1} \sum_j w_{i,j}(X - \mu e^T)_{i,j}y_j$  for every  $i$   
    Compute the slim QR decomposition  $U = QR$  and set  $U \leftarrow Q$   
     $y_j \leftarrow \left(\sum_i w_{i,j}u_iu_i^T\right)^{-1} \sum_i w_{i,j}(X - \mu e^T)_{i,j}u_i$  for every  $j$   
**end while**  
Compute  $\mu \leftarrow \mu + UYe/n$ ,  $Y \leftarrow Y(I - ee^T/n)$

---

- Write the code for the PI algorithm.
- For which  $\alpha$  can you recover the original faces in  $X$  using PI algorithm and  $k = 9$ ?
- Print the conditioning for the  $k \times k$  matrices you invert in PI. How do they change with  $\alpha$ ?

### 3 RPCA

On the same set of images, the "illumination issues" can be seen as localized corruption of data. Reshape the images in the yaleB01 dataset to size  $96 \times 84$  and then form the matrix  $X$ . We can thus apply a Robust PCA algorithm like IRLS or ADMM.

---

**Algorithm 3** IRLS( $X, k, \epsilon$ ),  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$

---

Initialize  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  randomly.  
 Initialize  $W$  as the  $d \times n$  all ones matrix  
**while**  $\|W \circ (X - \mu e^T - UY)\|_F$  does not converge **do**  
    $E \leftarrow X - \mu e^T - UY$   
    $W_{i,j} = \epsilon^2 / (E_{i,j}^2 + \epsilon^2)$  for every  $i, j$   
   Apply one step of PI with parameters  $W, Y, U, \mu, X$   
**end while**  
 Compute  $\mu \leftarrow \mu + UYe/n$ ,  $Y \leftarrow Y(I - ee^T/n)$ ,  $E \leftarrow X - \mu e^T - UY$

---

Recall here that

- $D_\tau(X)$  is the "singular values thresholding" of a matrix defined as: if  $X = U\Sigma V^T$  is its SVD with singular values  $\sigma_i$ , then  $D_\tau(X) = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^T$  with singular values  $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \max\{0, \sigma_i - \tau\}$ .
- $S_\tau(X)$  is the "soft thresholding" of a matrix defined as:  $[S_\tau(X)]_{i,j} = \text{sign}(X_{i,j}) \max\{0, |X_{i,j}| - \tau\}$

---

**Algorithm 4** ADMM( $X, \lambda, \mu$ ),  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ,  $\lambda, \mu > 0$

---

Initialize  $E = \Lambda = L = 0$   
**while**  $\|L\|_* + \lambda\|E\|_1 + \text{Tr}(\Lambda^T(X - L - E) + \mu\|X - L - E\|_F^2/2)$  does not converge **do**  
    $L \leftarrow D_{1/\mu}(\frac{1}{\mu}\Lambda + X - E)$   
    $E \leftarrow S_{\lambda/\mu}(\frac{1}{\mu}\Lambda + X - L)$   
    $\Lambda \leftarrow \Lambda + \mu(X - L - E)$   
**end while**

---

- Write the code for the IRLS and ADMM algorithms.
- Apply IRLS with  $k = 4$  and  $\epsilon = 1/2$  to  $X$ . Can you interpret the faces in the columns of  $UY$  and the errors in the columns of  $E$ ?
- Apply ADMM with  $\mu = nd/(4\|X\|_1)$ ,  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{n}$  and compare with IRLS. Which one has the sparsest  $E$ ? Compute moreover a truncated SVD of the output  $L = U\Sigma V^T$  with rank  $k = 4$  and compare the eigenfaces (columns of  $U$ ) with the respective eigenfaces of IRLS.